

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

APPV IO.			www.usplo.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Pinom		
	03/08/2004 90 10/12/2006 RTENS OLSON & : REET FLOOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Katsumi Ochiai  BEAR LLP	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  FS.20131US0A  EXAM SWINEHAR1  ART UNIT  3617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Advisory Action Applicant(s) Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief 10/795 765 OCHIAI, KATSUMI Examiner Art Unit Ed Swinehart --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Scannine Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have Exemplars to date ring the bounded when 37 or 1, 100(g). The ball on what the periods under 37 or 1, 100(g) and the appropriate extension fee indeed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 Deer life to size user on purposes or users imming the period of extension and use corresponding amount of the sec. The appropriate extension received of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) Crr. 1.1 (b) is cacculated mon. (1) the explanant unit or the shortened statutory period for legy dispinary set in the mind cinice account, or (c) as set north above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. \_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be The distance of our experience area area are or using a reduce of Appear, our prior to the date or using a unit, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a enties to because the animaric to other entieties are consistent and entier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Ed Swinehart Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3617

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

For the record, this advisory is responsive to the request for consideration filed 8/11/2006. The request for reconsideration filed 9/11/2006 cannot be entered because it is not timely filed. The notice of non-compliance mailed 9/1/2006 was mailed in error, as it was in fact responsive to the claims filed in the amdt. of 1/11/2006, which was non-compliant, yet entered by the office in error. Future amendments should include the text of withdrawn claims as required by the rules.

The Applicant argues that the electronic AND mechanical remote device are disclosed as usable togethor, and points to the specification, paragrapgh 84. The specification specifically states that such are used alternatively only, and not at the same time. Claim 1 of example resciting the mechanical remote control is limited to the mechanical specie, and to claim a command signal from the Although figure 7 shows both 150 and 192 attached to the input, the description of this figure indicates that it is either one or the other, not both at the same time.